

NUMERICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF NEF ARITHMETIC DIVISORS ON ARITHMETIC SURFACES

ATSUSHI MORIWAKI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give a numerical characterization of nef arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisors of C^0 -type on an arithmetic surface. Namely an arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor $\bar{D}$ of C^0 -type is nef if and only if $\bar{D}$ is pseudo-effective and $\widehat{\deg}(\bar{D}^2) = \widehat{\text{vol}}(\bar{D})$.

INTRODUCTION

Let X be a generically smooth, normal and projective arithmetic surface and let $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(O_K)$ be the Stein factorization of $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$, where K is a number field and O_K is the ring of integers in K . Let $\bar{L}$ be an arithmetic divisor of C^∞ -type on X with $\deg(L_K) = 0$ (cf. Conventions and terminology 2). Faltings-Hriljac's Hodge index theorem ([6], [8]) says that

$$\widehat{\deg}(\bar{L}^2) \leq 0$$

and the equality holds if and only if $\bar{L} = \widehat{(\phi)} + (0, \eta)$ for some F_∞ -invariant locally constant real valued function η on $X(\mathbb{C})$ and $\phi \in \text{Rat}(X)_\mathbb{Q}^\times := \text{Rat}(X)^\times \otimes_\mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q}$. The inequality part of their Hodge index theorem can be generalized as follows: Let $\bar{D}$ be an integrable arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor of C^0 -type on X , that is, $\bar{D} = \bar{P} - \bar{Q}$ for some nef arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisors $\bar{P}$ and $\bar{Q}$ of C^0 -type. (cf. Conventions and terminology 2 and 5). If $\deg(D_K) \geq 0$, then

$$\widehat{\deg}(\bar{D}^2) \leq \widehat{\text{vol}}(\bar{D})$$

(cf. [11, Theorem 6.2], [12, Theorem 6.6.1], Theorem 4.3). This inequality is called the *generalized Hodge index theorem*. It is very interesting to ask the equality condition of the inequality. It is known that if $\bar{D}$ is nef, then $\widehat{\deg}(\bar{D}^2) = \widehat{\text{vol}}(\bar{D})$ (cf. [11, Corollary 5.5], [12, Proposition-Definition 6.4.1]), so that the problem is the converse. In the case where $\deg(D_K) = 0$ (and hence $\widehat{\text{vol}}(\bar{D}) = 0$), it is nothing more than the equality condition of the Hodge index theorem (cf. Lemma 4.1). Thus the following theorem gives an answer to the above question.

Theorem 0.1 (cf. Theorem 4.3). *We assume that $\deg(D_K) > 0$. Then $\bar{D}$ is nef if and only if $\widehat{\deg}(\bar{D}^2) = \widehat{\text{vol}}(\bar{D})$.*

Date: 26/June/2012, 9:00 (Kyoto), (Version 2.1).

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14G40; Secondary 11G50, 37P30.

For the proof of the above theorem, we need the integral formulae of the arithmetic volumes due to Boucksom-Chen [4] and the existence of the Zariski decomposition of big arithmetic divisors [12]. From the point of view of a characterization of nef arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisors, the following variant of the above theorem is also significant.

Corollary 0.2 (cf. Corollary 4.4). $\overline{D}$ is nef if and only if $\overline{D}$ is pseudo-effective and $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}^2) = \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D})$.

Let $\Upsilon(\overline{D})$ be the set of all arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisors $\overline{M}$ of C^0 -type on X such that $\overline{M}$ is nef and $\overline{M} \leq \overline{D}$. As an application of the above theorem, we have the following numerical characterization of the greatest element of $\Upsilon(\overline{D})$.

Corollary 0.3 (cf. Corollary 5.4). We assume that X is regular. Let $\overline{P}$ be an arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor of C^0 -type on X . Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $\overline{P}$ is the greatest element of $\Upsilon(\overline{D})$, that is, $\overline{P} \in \Upsilon(\overline{D})$ and $\overline{M} \leq \overline{P}$ for all $\overline{M} \in \Upsilon(\overline{D})$.
- (2) $\overline{P}$ is an element of $\Upsilon(\overline{D})$ with the following property:

$$\widehat{\deg}(\overline{P} \cdot \overline{B}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\deg}(\overline{B}^2) < 0$$

for all integrable arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisors $\overline{B}$ of C^0 -type with $(0, 0) \not\leq \overline{B} \leq \overline{D} - \overline{P}$ (cf. Conventions and terminology 5).

Finally I would like to thank Prof. Yuan and Prof. Zhang for their helpful comments.

Conventions and terminology. Here we fix several conventions and the terminology of this paper. An *arithmetic variety* means a quasi-projective and flat integral scheme over $\mathbb{Z}$. It is said to be *generically smooth* if the generic fiber over $\mathbb{Z}$ is smooth over $\mathbb{Q}$. Throughout this paper, X is a $(d + 1)$ -dimensional, generically smooth, normal and projective arithmetic variety. Let $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(O_K)$ be the Stein factorization of $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$, where K is a number field and O_K is the ring of integers in K . For details of the following 2 and 4, see [12] and [14].

1. A pair $(M, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a *normed $\mathbb{Z}$ -module* if M is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$ -module and $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm of $M_{\mathbb{R}} := M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. A quantity

$$\log \left(\frac{\text{vol}(\{x \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \|x\| \leq 1\})}{\text{vol}(M_{\mathbb{R}}/(M/M_{\text{tor}}))} \right) + \log \#(M_{\text{tor}})$$

does not depend on the choice of the Haar measure vol on $M_{\mathbb{R}}$, where M_{tor} is the group of torsion elements of M . We denote the above quantity by $\hat{\chi}(M, \|\cdot\|)$.

2. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be either $\mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{R}$. Let $\text{Div}(X)$ be the group of Cartier divisors on X and let $\text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{K}} := \text{Div}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{K}$, whose element is called a $\mathbb{K}$ -Cartier divisor on X . For $D \in \text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, we define $H^0(X, D)$ and $H^0(X_K, D_K)$ to be

$$\begin{cases} H^0(X, D) = \{\phi \in \text{Rat}(X)^{\times} \mid D + (\phi) \geq 0\} \cup \{0\}, \\ H^0(X_K, D_K) = \{\phi \in \text{Rat}(X_K)^{\times} \mid D_K + (\phi)_K \geq 0 \text{ on } X_K\} \cup \{0\}, \end{cases}$$

where X_K is the generic fiber of $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(O_K)$.

A pair $\overline{D} = (D, g)$ is called an *arithmetic $\mathbb{K}$ -Cartier divisor of C^∞ -type* (resp. of C^0 -type) if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) D is a $\mathbb{K}$ -Cartier divisor on X , that is, $D = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i D_i$ for some $D_1, \dots, D_r \in \text{Div}(X)$ and $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathbb{K}$.
- (b) $g : X(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ is a locally integrable function and $g \circ F_\infty = g$ (a.e.), where $F_\infty : X(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow X(\mathbb{C})$ is the complex conjugation map.
- (c) For any point $x \in X(\mathbb{C})$, there exist an open neighborhood U_x of x and a C^∞ -function (resp. continuous function) u_x on U_x such that

$$g = u_x + \sum_{i=1}^r (-a_i) \log |f_i|^2 \quad (\text{a.e.})$$

on U_x , where f_i is a local equation of D_i over U_x for each i .

The function g is called a *D -Green function of C^∞ -type* (resp. of C^0 -type). Note that $dd^c([u_x])$ does not depend on the choice of local equations $f_1, \dots, f_r$, so that $dd^c([u_x])$ is defined globally on $X(\mathbb{C})$. It is called the *first Chern current of $\overline{D}$* and is denoted by $c_1(\overline{D})$, that is, $c_1(\overline{D}) = dd^c([g]) + \delta_D$. Note that, if $\overline{D}$ is of C^∞ -type, then $c_1(\overline{D})$ is represented by a C^∞ -form, which is called the *first Chern form of $\overline{D}$* . Let C be either C^∞ or C^0 . The set of all arithmetic $\mathbb{K}$ -Cartier divisors of C -type is denoted by $\widehat{\text{Div}}_C(X)_\mathbb{K}$. Moreover, the group

$$\{(D, g) \in \widehat{\text{Div}}_C(X)_\mathbb{Q} \mid D \in \text{Div}(X)\}$$

is denoted by $\widehat{\text{Div}}_C(X)$. An element of $\widehat{\text{Div}}_C(X)$ is called an *arithmetic Cartier divisor of C -type*. For $\overline{D} = (D, g), \overline{E} = (E, h) \in \widehat{\text{Div}}_{C^0}(X)_\mathbb{K}$, we define relations $\overline{D} = \overline{E}$ and $\overline{D} \geq \overline{E}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{D} = \overline{E} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} D = E, \quad g = h \text{ (a.e.)}, \\ \overline{D} \geq \overline{E} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} D \geq E, \quad g \geq h \text{ (a.e.)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\text{Rat}(X)_\mathbb{K}^\times := \text{Rat}(X)^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{K}$, and let

$$(\cdot)_\mathbb{K} : \text{Rat}(X)_\mathbb{K}^\times \rightarrow \text{Div}(X)_\mathbb{K} \quad \text{and} \quad (\cdot)_\mathbb{K} : \text{Rat}(X)_\mathbb{K}^\times \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Div}}_{C^\infty}(X)_\mathbb{K}$$

be the natural extensions of the homomorphisms

$$\text{Rat}(X)^\times \rightarrow \text{Div}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Rat}(X)^\times \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Div}}_{C^\infty}(X)$$

given by $\phi \mapsto (\phi)$ and $\phi \mapsto (\widehat{\phi})$ respectively. Let $\overline{D}$ be an arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor of C^0 -type. We define $\widehat{\Gamma}^\times(X, \overline{D})$ and $\widehat{\Gamma}_\mathbb{K}^\times(X, \overline{D})$ to be

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Gamma}^\times(X, \overline{D}) &:= \{\phi \in \text{Rat}(X)^\times \mid \overline{D} + (\widehat{\phi}) \geq (0, 0)\}, \\ \widehat{\Gamma}_\mathbb{K}^\times(X, \overline{D}) &:= \{\phi \in \text{Rat}(X)_\mathbb{K}^\times \mid \overline{D} + (\widehat{\phi})_\mathbb{K} \geq (0, 0)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\widehat{\Gamma}_\mathbb{Q}^\times(X, \overline{D}) = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \widehat{\Gamma}^\times(X, n\overline{D})^{1/n}$. Moreover, we set

$$\hat{H}^0(X, \overline{D}) := \widehat{\Gamma}^\times(X, \overline{D}) \cup \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{H}_\mathbb{K}^0(X, \overline{D}) := \widehat{\Gamma}_\mathbb{K}^\times(X, \overline{D}) \cup \{0\}.$$

For $\xi \in X$, we define the $\mathbb{K}$ -asymptotic multiplicity of $\overline{D}$ at ξ to be

$$\mu_{\mathbb{K},\xi}(\overline{D}) := \begin{cases} \inf \{ \text{mult}_\xi(D + (\phi)_\mathbb{K}) \mid \phi \in \widehat{\Gamma}_\mathbb{K}^\times(X, \overline{D}) \} & \text{if } \widehat{\Gamma}_\mathbb{K}^\times(X, \overline{D}) \neq \emptyset, \\ \infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

(for details, see [12, Proposition 6.5.2, Proposition 6.5.3] and [14, Section 2]).

3. Let $\overline{D} = (D, g)$ be an arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor of C^0 -type on X . Let $\phi \in H^0(X(\mathbb{C}), D_\mathbb{C})$, that is, $\phi \in \text{Rat}(X(\mathbb{C}))^\times$ and $(\phi) + D_\mathbb{C} \geq 0$ on $X(\mathbb{C})$. Then $|\phi| \exp(-g/2)$ is represented by a continuous function $|\phi|_g^c$ on $X(\mathbb{C})$ (cf. [12, SubSection 2.5]), so that we may consider $\sup \{ |\phi|_g^c(x) \mid x \in X(\mathbb{C}) \}$. We denote it by $\|\phi\|_{\overline{D}}$ or $\|\phi\|_g$. Note that, for $\phi \in H^0(X, D)$, $\phi \in \hat{H}^0(X, \overline{D})$ if and only if $\|\phi\|_{\overline{D}} \leq 1$. We define $\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D})$ and $\widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{D})$ to be

$$\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}) := \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \# \hat{H}^0(X, m\overline{D})}{m^{d+1}/(d+1)!}, \quad \widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{D}) := \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\hat{\chi}(H^0(X, mD), \|\cdot\|_{m\overline{D}})}{m^{d+1}/(d+1)!}.$$

It is well known that $\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}) \geq \widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{D})$. More generally, for $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_l \in X$ and $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, we define $\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}; \mu_1 \xi_1, \dots, \mu_l \xi_l)$ to be

$$\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}; \mu_1 \xi_1, \dots, \mu_l \xi_l) := \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \# \left(\left\{ \phi \in \widehat{\Gamma}^\times(X, m\overline{D}) \mid \text{mult}_{\xi_i}(mD + (\phi)) \geq \mu_i \ (\forall i) \right\} \cup \{0\} \right)}{m^{d+1}/(d+1)!}.$$

Note that $\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}; \mu \xi) = \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D})$ for $0 \leq \mu \leq \mu_{\mathbb{Q},\xi}(\overline{D})$.

4. Let $\overline{D}$ be an arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor of C^0 -type on X . The effectivity, bigness, pseudo-effectivity and nefness of $\overline{D}$ are defined as follows:

- $\overline{D}$ is effective $\xLeftrightarrow{\text{def}} \overline{D} \geq (0, 0)$.
- $\overline{D}$ is big $\xLeftrightarrow{\text{def}} \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}) > 0$.
- $\overline{D}$ is pseudo-effective $\xLeftrightarrow{\text{def}} \overline{D} + \overline{A}$ is big for any big arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor $\overline{A}$ of C^0 -type.
- $\overline{D} = (D, g)$ is nef $\xLeftrightarrow{\text{def}}$
 - (a) $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}|_C) \geq 0$ for all reduced and irreducible 1-dimensional closed subschemes C of X .
 - (b) $c_1(\overline{D})$ is a positive current.

A decomposition $\overline{D} = \overline{P} + \overline{N}$ is called a *Zariski decomposition* of $\overline{D}$ if the following properties are satisfied:

- (1) $\overline{P}$ and $\overline{N}$ are arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisors of C^0 -type on X .
- (2) $\overline{P}$ is nef and $\overline{N}$ is effective.
- (3) $\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{P}) = \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D})$.

We set

$$\Upsilon(\overline{D}) := \left\{ \overline{M} \mid \begin{array}{l} \overline{M} \text{ is an arithmetic } \mathbb{R}\text{-Cartier divisor of } C^0\text{-type} \\ \text{such that } \overline{M} \text{ is nef and } \overline{M} \leq \overline{D} \end{array} \right\}.$$

If $\overline{P}$ is the greatest element of $\Upsilon(\overline{D})$ (i.e. $\overline{P} \in \Upsilon(\overline{D})$ and $\overline{M} \leq \overline{P}$ for all $\overline{M} \in \Upsilon(\overline{D})$) and $\overline{N} = \overline{D} - \overline{P}$, then $\overline{D} = \overline{P} + \overline{N}$ is a Zariski decomposition of $\overline{D}$ (cf. Proposition B.1).

5. Let $\overline{D}$ be an arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor of C^0 -type on X . According to [16], we say $\overline{D}$ is *integrable* if there are nef arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisors $\overline{P}$ and $\overline{Q}$ of C^0 -type such that $\overline{D} = \overline{P} - \overline{Q}$. Note that if either $\overline{D}$ is of C^∞ -type, or $c_1(\overline{D})$ is a positive current, then $\overline{D}$ is integrable (cf. [12, Proposition 6.4.2]). Moreover, for integrable arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisors $\overline{D}_0, \dots, \overline{D}_d$ of C^0 -type on X , the arithmetic intersection number $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}_0 \cdots \overline{D}_d)$ is defined in the natural way (cf. [12, SubSection 6.4], [14, SubSection 2.1]). Note that if $\overline{D} = \overline{P} + \overline{N}$ is a Zariski decomposition and $\overline{D}$ is integrable, then $\overline{N}$ is also integrable.

6. We assume that X is regular and $d = 1$. Let $D_1, \dots, D_k$ be $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisors on X . We set $D_i = \sum_C a_{i,C} C$ for each i , where C runs over all reduced and irreducible 1-dimensional closed subschemes on X . We define $\max\{D_1, \dots, D_k\}$ to be

$$\max\{D_1, \dots, D_k\} := \sum_C \max\{a_{1,C}, \dots, a_{k,C}\} C.$$

Let $\overline{D}_1 = (D_1, g_1), \dots, \overline{D}_k = (D_k, g_k)$ be arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisors of C^0 -type on X . Then $\max\{\overline{D}_1, \dots, \overline{D}_k\}$ is defined to be

$$\max\{\overline{D}_1, \dots, \overline{D}_k\} := (\max\{D_1, \dots, D_k\}, \max\{g_1, \dots, g_k\}).$$

Note that $\max\{\overline{D}_1, \dots, \overline{D}_k\}$ is also an arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor of C^0 -type (cf. [12, Lemma 9.1.2]).

1. RELATIVE ZARISKI DECOMPOSITION OF ARITHMETIC DIVISORS

We assume that X is regular and $d = 1$. The Stein factorization $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(O_K)$ of $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ is denoted by π . Let $\overline{D} = (D, g)$ be an arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor of C^0 -type on X . We say $\overline{D}$ is *relatively nef* if $c_1(\overline{D})$ is a positive current and $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}|_C) \geq 0$ for all vertical reduced and irreducible 1-dimensional closed subschemes C on X . We set

$$\Upsilon_{\text{rel}}(\overline{D}) := \left\{ \overline{M} \mid \begin{array}{l} \overline{M} \text{ is an arithmetic } \mathbb{R}\text{-Cartier divisor of } C^0\text{-type} \\ \text{such that } \overline{M} \text{ is relatively nef and } \overline{M} \leq \overline{D} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Theorem 1.1 (Relative Zariski decomposition). *If $\deg(D_K) \geq 0$, then there is the greatest element $\overline{Q}$ of $\Upsilon_{\text{rel}}(\overline{D})$, that is, $\overline{Q} \in \Upsilon_{\text{rel}}(\overline{D})$ and $\overline{M} \leq \overline{Q}$ for all $\overline{M} \in \Upsilon_{\text{rel}}(\overline{D})$. Moreover, if we set $\overline{N} := \overline{D} - \overline{Q}$, then $\overline{Q}$ and $\overline{N}$ satisfy the following properties:*

- (a) $\overline{N}$ is vertical.
- (b) $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{Q} \cdot \overline{N}) = 0$.

- (c) For any $P \in \text{Spec}(O_K)$, $\pi^{-1}(P)_{\text{red}} \not\subseteq \text{Supp}(N)$.
- (d) The natural homomorphism $H^0(X, nQ) \rightarrow H^0(X, nD)$ is bijective and $\|\cdot\|_{n\overline{D}} = \|\cdot\|_{n\overline{Q}}$ for each $n \geq 0$.
- (e) $\widehat{\text{vol}}_X(\overline{Q}) = \widehat{\text{vol}}_X(\overline{D})$.

Before starting the proof of Theorem 1.1, we need several preparations. Let D be an $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor on X . We say D is π -nef if $\deg(D|_C) \geq 0$ for all vertical reduced and irreducible 1-dimensional closed subschemes C on X . First let us consider the relative Zariski decomposition on finite places.

Lemma 1.2. *Let D be an $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor on X and let $\Sigma(D)$ be the set of all $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisors M on X such that M is π -nef and $M \leq D$. If $\deg(D_K) \geq 0$, then there is the greatest element Q of $\Sigma(D)$, that is, $Q \in \Sigma(D)$ and $M \leq Q$ for all $M \in \Sigma(D)$. Moreover, if we set $N := D - Q$, then Q and N satisfy the following properties:*

- (a) N is vertical.
- (b) $\deg(Q|_C) = 0$ for all reduced and irreducible 1-dimensional closed subschemes C in $\text{Supp}(N)$.
- (c) For any $P \in \text{Spec}(O_K)$, $\pi^{-1}(P)_{\text{red}} \not\subseteq \text{Supp}(N)$.
- (d) The natural homomorphism $H^0(X, nQ) \rightarrow H^0(X, nD)$ is bijective for each $n \geq 0$.

Proof. Let us begin with following claim:

Claim 1.2.1. $\Sigma(D) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. First we assume that $\deg(D_K) = 0$. Then, by using Zariski's lemma (cf. [14, Lemma 1.1.4]), we can find a vertical and effective $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor E such that $\deg((D - E)|_C) = 0$ for all vertical reduced and irreducible 1-dimensional closed subschemes C on X , and hence $\Sigma(D) \neq \emptyset$.

Next we assume that $\deg(D_K) > 0$. Let A be an ample Cartier divisor on X . As $\deg(D_K) > 0$, $H^0(X_K, mD_K - A_K) \neq \{0\}$ for some positive integer m , and hence $H^0(X, mD - A) \neq \{0\}$. Thus, there is $\phi \in \text{Rat}(X)^\times$ such that $mD - A + (\phi) \geq 0$, that is, $D \geq (1/m)(A - (\phi))$, as required. $\square$

Claim 1.2.2. *If $L_1, \dots, L_k$ are π -nef $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisors, then $\max\{L_1, \dots, L_k\}$ is also π -nef (cf. Conventions and terminology 6).*

Proof. We set $L'_i := \max\{L_1, \dots, L_k\} - L_i$ for each i . Let C be a vertical reduced and irreducible 1-dimensional closed subscheme on X . Then there is i such that $C \not\subseteq \text{Supp}(L'_i)$. As L'_i is effective, we have $\deg(L'_i|_C) \geq 0$, so that

$$\deg(\max\{L_1, \dots, L_k\}|_C) = \deg(L_i|_C) + \deg(L'_i|_C) \geq 0.$$

$\square$

For a reduced and irreducible 1-dimensional closed subscheme C on X , we set

$$q_C := \sup\{\text{mult}_C(M) \mid M \in \Sigma(D)\},$$

which exists in $\mathbb{R}$ because $\text{mult}_C(M) \leq \text{mult}_C(D)$ for all $M \in \Sigma(D)$. We fix $M_0 \in \Sigma(D)$.

Claim 1.2.3. *There is a sequence $\{M_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisors in $\Sigma(D)$ such that $M_0 \leq M_n$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{mult}_C(M_n) = q_C$ for all reduced and irreducible 1-dimensional closed subschemes C in $\text{Supp}(D) \cup \text{Supp}(M_0)$.*

Proof. For each reduced and irreducible 1-dimensional closed subscheme C in $\text{Supp}(D) \cup \text{Supp}(M_0)$, there is a sequence $\{M_{C,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $\Sigma(D)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{mult}_C(M_{C,n}) = q_C.$$

If we set

$$M_n = \max \left(\{M_{C,n}\}_{C \subseteq \text{Supp}(D) \cup \text{Supp}(M_0)} \cup \{M_0\} \right),$$

then $M_0 \leq M_n$ and $M_n \in \Sigma(D)$ by Claim 1.2.2. Moreover, as

$$\text{mult}_C(M_{C,n}) \leq \text{mult}_C(M_n) \leq q_C,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{mult}_C(M_n) = q_C. \quad \square$$

Since $\max\{M_0, M\} \in \Sigma(D)$ for all $M \in \Sigma(D)$ by Claim 1.2.2, we have

$$\text{mult}_C(M_0) \leq q_C \leq \text{mult}_C(D).$$

In particular, if $C \not\subseteq \text{Supp}(D) \cup \text{Supp}(M_0)$, then $q_C = 0$, so that we can set $Q := \sum_C q_C C$.

Claim 1.2.4. *Q is the greatest element Q in $\Sigma(D)$, that is, $Q \in \Sigma(D)$ and $M \leq Q$ for all $M \in \Sigma(D)$.*

Proof. By Claim 1.2.3, we can see that $Q \in \Sigma(D)$, so that the assertion follows. $\square$

We need to check the properties (a) – (d).

(a) We choose effective $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisors N_1 and N_2 such that $N = N_1 + N_2$, N_1 is horizontal and N_2 is vertical. If $N_1 \neq 0$, then $Q \not\leq Q + N_1 \leq D$ and $Q + N_1$ is π -nef, so that we have $N_1 = 0$, that is, N is vertical.

(b) Let C be a vertical reduced and irreducible 1-dimensional closed subscheme in $\text{Supp}(N)$. If $\deg(Q|_C) > 0$, then $Q + \epsilon C$ is π -nef and $Q + \epsilon C \leq D$ for a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, and hence $\deg(Q|_C) = 0$.

(c) We assume the contrary. Then we can find $\delta > 0$ such that $\delta\pi^{-1}(P) \leq N$, so that $Q \not\leq Q + \delta\pi^{-1}(P) \leq D$ and $Q + \delta\pi^{-1}(P)$ is π -nef. This is a contradiction.

(d) It is sufficient to see that if $\phi \in \Gamma^\times(X, nD)$, then $\phi \in \Gamma^\times(X, nQ)$. Since $(-1/n)(\phi) \in \Sigma(D)$, we have $(-1/n)(\phi) \leq Q$, that is, $nQ + (\phi) \geq 0$. Therefore $\phi \in \Gamma^\times(X, nQ)$. $\square$

Moreover, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1.3. *Let S be a connected compact Riemann surface and let D be an $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor on S with $\deg(D) \geq 0$. Let g be a D -Green function of C^0 -type on S and let $G(D, g)$ be the set of all D -Green functions h of C^0 -type on S such that $c_1(D, h)$ is a positive current and $h \leq g$ (a.e.). Then there is the greatest element q of $G(D, g)$, that is, $q \in G(D, g)$ and $h \leq q$ (a.e.) for all $h \in G(D, g)$. Moreover, q has the following property:*

(1) $\|\phi\|_{ng} = \|\phi\|_{nq}$ for all $\phi \in H^0(S, nD)$ and $n \geq 0$.

(2) $\int_S (g - q)c_1(D, q) = 0$.

Proof. The existence of q follows from [3, Theorem 1.4] or [12, Theorem 4.6]. We need to check the properties (1) and (2).

(1) Clearly $\|\phi\|_{nq} \geq \|\phi\|_{ng}$ because $q \leq g$ (a.e.). Let us consider the converse inequality. We may assume that $\phi \neq 0$. We set

$$q' := \max \left\{ q, \frac{1}{n} \log(|\phi|^2 / \|\phi\|_{ng}^2) \right\}.$$

Since $D \geq (-1/n)(\phi)$ and $(1/n) \log(|\phi|^2 / \|\phi\|_{ng}^2)$ is a $(-1/n)(\phi)$ -Green function of C^∞ -type with the first Chern form zero, by [12, Lemma 9.1.1], q' is a D -Green function of C^0 -type such that $c_1(D, q')$ is a positive current. Note that $\|\phi\|_{ng}^2 \geq |\phi|^2 \exp(-ng)$ (a.e.), that is,

$$g \geq (1/n) \log(|\phi|^2 / \|\phi\|_{ng}^2) \text{ (a.e.)},$$

and hence $q' \in G(D, g)$. Therefore, as $q' \geq q$ (a.e.), we have $q = q'$ (a.e.), so that $q \geq (1/n) \log(|\phi|^2 / \|\phi\|_{ng}^2)$ (a.e.), that is, $\|\phi\|_{ng}^2 \geq |\phi|^2 \exp(-nq)$ (a.e.), which implies $\|\phi\|_{ng} \geq \|\phi\|_{nq}$.

(2) If $\deg(D) = 0$, then the assertion is obvious because $c_1(D, q) = 0$, so that we assume that $\deg(D) > 0$. First we consider the case where g is of C^∞ -type. We set $\alpha := c_1(D, g)$ and

$$\varphi := \sup \{ \psi \mid \psi \text{ is an } \alpha\text{-plurisubharmonic function on } S \text{ and } \psi \leq 0 \}$$

(cf. [3]). Then, by [12, Proposition 4.3], $q = g + \varphi$ (a.e.). In particular, φ is continuous because g and q are of C^0 -type. If we set $D = \{x \in S \mid \varphi(x) = 0\}$, then, by [3, Corollary 2.5], $c_1(D, q) = \mathbf{1}_D \alpha$, where $\mathbf{1}_D$ is the indicator function of D . Thus

$$\int_S (g - q) c_1(D, q) = 0.$$

Next we consider a general case. Let g' be a D -Green function of C^∞ -type. We set $g = g' + u$ (a.e.) for some continuous function u on S . By using the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, we can find a sequence $\{u_n\}$ of C^∞ -functions on S such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u\|_{\sup} = 0$. We set $g_n := g' + u_n$. Let q_n be the greatest element of $G(D, g_n)$. As

$$g - \|u_n - u\|_{\sup} \leq g_n \leq g + \|u_n - u\|_{\sup} \text{ (a.e.)},$$

we can see $q - \|u_n - u\|_{\sup} \leq q_n \leq q + \|u_n - u\|_{\sup}$ (a.e.). Thus, if we set $q_n = g' + v_n$ (a.e.) and $q = g' + v$ (a.e.) for some continuous functions v_n and v on S , then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n - v\|_{\sup} = 0$. Moreover, by using the previous observation,

$$0 = \int_S (g_n - q_n) c_1(D, q_n) = \int_S (u_n - v_n) c_1(D, q_n).$$

Since $c_1(D, q_n) = c_1(D, g') + dd^c([v_n]) \geq 0$, by using [5, Corollary 3.6] or [14, Lemma 1.2.1], we can see that $c_1(D, q_n)$ converges weakly to $c_1(D, q)$ as functionals on $C^0(S)$. In particular, there is a constant C such that $\int_S c_1(D, q_n) \leq C$ for all n .

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_S (u_n - v_n) c_1(D, q_n) - \int_S (u - v) c_1(D, q) \right| \\
& \leq \left| \int_S (u_n - v_n) c_1(D, q_n) - \int_S (u - v) c_1(D, q_n) \right| \\
& \quad + \left| \int_S (u - v) c_1(D, q_n) - \int_S (u - v) c_1(D, q) \right| \\
& \leq \|(u - v) - (u_n - v_n)\|_{\text{sup}} C + \left| \int_S (u - v) c_1(D, q_n) - \int_S (u - v) c_1(D, q) \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_S (u_n - v_n) c_1(D, q_n) = \int_S (u - v) c_1(D, q),$$

and hence the assertion follows. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let us start the proof of Theorem 1.1. First we consider the existence of the greatest element of $\Upsilon_{\text{rel}}(\overline{D})$. By Lemma 1.2, there is the greatest element Q of $\Sigma(D)$. Note that $D - Q$ is vertical. On the other hand, let $G(\overline{D})$ be the set of all D -Green functions h of C^0 -type such that $c_1(D, h)$ is a positive current and $h \leq g$ (a.e.). By Lemma 1.3, there is the greatest element q of $G(\overline{D})$, that is, $q \in G(\overline{D})$ and $h \leq q$ (a.e.) for all $h \in G(\overline{D})$. Let us see that q is F_∞ -invariant. For this purpose, it is sufficient to see that $F_\infty^*(q) \in G(\overline{D})$ and $h \leq F_\infty^*(q)$ (a.e.) for all $h \in G(\overline{D})$. The first assertion follows from [12, Lemma 5.1.2]. Let us see the second assertion. Since $F_\infty^*(h) \in G(\overline{D})$ by [12, Lemma 5.1.2], $F_\infty^*(h) \leq q$ (a.e.), and hence $h \leq F_\infty^*(q)$ (a.e.). Here we set $\overline{Q} := (Q, q)$. Clearly $\overline{Q} \in \Upsilon_{\text{rel}}(\overline{D})$. Moreover, for $\overline{M} \in \Upsilon_{\text{rel}}(\overline{D})$, $(M', h') := \max\{\overline{Q}, \overline{M}\} \in \Upsilon_{\text{rel}}(\overline{D})$ by Claim 1.2.2 and [12, Lemma 9.1.1] (for the definition of $\max\{\overline{Q}, \overline{M}\}$, see Conventions and terminology 6). In particular, $M' \in \Sigma(D)$ and $h' \in G(\overline{D})$, and hence $(M', h') = \overline{Q}$, that is, $\overline{M} \leq \overline{Q}$, as required.

Finally let us see (a) — (e). As Q is the greatest element of $\Sigma(D)$, (a), (c) and the first assertion of (d) follow from Lemma 1.2. The second assertion of (d) follows from (1) in Lemma 1.3. The property (e) is a consequence of (d). Finally we consider (b). If we set $\overline{N} = (N, k)$, then $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{Q} \cdot (N, 0)) = 0$ by (b) in Lemma 1.2, and $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{Q} \cdot (0, k)) = 0$ by (2) in Lemma 1.3, and hence $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{Q} \cdot \overline{N}) = 0$. $\square$

2. GENERALIZED HODGE INDEX THEOREM FOR $\widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi$

In this section, we consider a refinement of the generalized Hodge index theorem on an arithmetic surface, that is, the case where $d = 1$. As in Conventions and terminology 5, an arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor $\overline{D}$ of C^0 -type on X is said to be *integrable* if $\overline{D} = \overline{P} - \overline{Q}$ for some nef arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisors $\overline{P}$ and $\overline{Q}$ of C^0 -type.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\overline{D}$ be an integrable arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor of C^0 -type on X such that $\deg(D_K) \geq 0$. Then $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}^2) \leq \widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{D})$ and the equality holds if and only if $\overline{D}$ is relatively nef. In particular, $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}^2) \leq \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D})$.*

Proof. Let $\mu : X' \rightarrow X$ be a desingularization of X (cf. [10]). Then $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}^2) = \widehat{\deg}(\mu^*(\overline{D})^2)$ and $\widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{D}) = \widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\mu^*(\overline{D}))$. Moreover, $\overline{D}$ is relatively nef if and only if $\mu^*(\overline{D})$ is relatively nef. Therefore we may assume that X is regular.

Claim 2.1.1. *If $\overline{D}$ is relatively nef, then $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}^2) = \widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{D})$.*

Proof. We divide the proof into five steps:

Step 1 (the case where $\overline{D}$ is an arithmetic $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor of C^∞ -type and $c_1(\overline{D})$ is a semi-positive form) : In this case, the assertion follows from Ikoma [9, Theorem 3.5.1].

Step 2 (the case where $\overline{D}$ is of C^∞ -type, $c_1(\overline{D})$ is a positive form and $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}|_C) > 0$ for all vertical reduced and irreducible 1-dimensional closed subschemes C) : We choose arithmetic Cartier divisors $\overline{D}_1, \dots, \overline{D}_l$ of C^∞ -type and real numbers $a_1, \dots, a_l$ such that $\overline{D} = a_1\overline{D}_1 + \dots + a_l\overline{D}_l$. Then there is a positive number δ_0 such that $c_1(b_1\overline{D}_1 + \dots + b_l\overline{D}_l)$ is a positive form for all $b_1, \dots, b_l \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $|b_i - a_i| \leq \delta_0$ ($\forall i = 1, \dots, l$). Let C be a smooth fiber of $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(O_K)$ over P . Then, for $b_1, \dots, b_l \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $|b_i - a_i| \leq \delta_0$ ($\forall i = 1, \dots, l$),

$$\widehat{\deg}\left((b_1\overline{D}_1 + \dots + b_l\overline{D}_l)|_C\right) = \deg((b_1D_1 + \dots + b_lD_l)_K) \log \#(O_K/P) > 0.$$

Let $C_1, \dots, C_r$ be all irreducible components of singular fibers of $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(O_K)$. Then, for each $j = 1, \dots, r$, there is a positive number δ_j such that

$$\widehat{\deg}\left((b_1\overline{D}_1 + \dots + b_l\overline{D}_l)|_{C_j}\right) > 0$$

for all $b_1, \dots, b_l \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $|b_i - a_i| \leq \delta_j$ ($\forall i = 1, \dots, l$). Therefore, if we set $\delta = \min\{\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_r\}$, then, for $b_1, \dots, b_l \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $|b_i - a_i| \leq \delta$ ($\forall i = 1, \dots, l$),

$$c_1(b_1\overline{D}_1 + \dots + b_l\overline{D}_l)$$

is a positive form and $\widehat{\deg}\left((b_1\overline{D}_1 + \dots + b_l\overline{D}_l)|_C\right) > 0$ for all vertical reduced and irreducible 1-dimensional closed subschemes C on X , and hence

$$\widehat{\deg}((b_1\overline{D}_1 + \dots + b_l\overline{D}_l)^2) = \widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(b_1\overline{D}_1 + \dots + b_l\overline{D}_l)$$

by Step 1. Thus the assertion follows by the continuity of $\widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi$ due to Ikoma [9, Corollary 3.4.4].

Step 3 (the case where $\overline{D}$ is of C^∞ -type and $c_1(\overline{D})$ is a semi-positive form) : Let $\overline{A}$ be an ample arithmetic Cartier divisor of C^∞ -type on X . Then, for any positive ϵ , $c_1(\overline{D} + \epsilon\overline{A})$ is a positive form and $\widehat{\deg}((\overline{D} + \epsilon\overline{A})|_C) > 0$ for all vertical reduced and irreducible 1-dimensional closed subschemes C on X , so that, by Step 2,

$$\widehat{\deg}((\overline{D} + \epsilon\overline{A})^2) = \widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{D} + \epsilon\overline{A}).$$

Therefore the assertion follows by virtue of the continuity of $\widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi$.

Step 4 (the case where $\deg(D_K) > 0$) : Let h be a D -Green function of C^∞ -type such that $c_1(D, h)$ is a positive form. Then there is a continuous function ϕ on $X(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\overline{D} = (D, h + \phi)$, and hence $c_1(D, h) + dd^c([\phi]) \geq 0$. Thus, by [12, Lemma 4.2], there is a sequence $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of F_∞ -invariant C^∞ -functions on $X(\mathbb{C})$ with the following properties:

- (a) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_n - \phi\|_{\text{sup}} = 0$.
- (b) If we set $\overline{D}_n = (D, h + \phi_n)$, then $c_1(\overline{D}_n)$ is a semipositive form.

Then, by Step 3, $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}_n^2) = \widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{D}_n)$ for all n . Note that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{D}_n) = \widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{D})$ by using the continuity of $\widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi$. Moreover, by [14, Lemma 1.2.1],

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}_n^2) = \widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}^2),$$

as required.

Step 5 (general case) : Finally we prove the assertion of the claim. As before, let $\overline{A}$ be an ample arithmetic Cartier divisor of C^∞ -type on X . Then, for any positive number ϵ , $\deg(D_K + \epsilon A_K) > 0$. Thus, in the same way as Step 3, the assertion follows from Step 4. $\square$

Let us go back to the proof of the theorem. Let $\overline{Q}$ be the greatest element of $\Upsilon_{\text{rel}}(\overline{D})$ (cf. Theorem 1.1) and $\overline{N} := \overline{D} - \overline{Q}$. Then, by using Claim 2.1.1 and the properties (b) and (e) in Theorem 1.1,

$$\widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{D}) - \widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}^2) = \widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{Q}) - \widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}^2) = \widehat{\deg}(\overline{Q}^2) - \widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}^2) = -\widehat{\deg}(\overline{N}^2).$$

On the other hand, if we set $\overline{N} = (N, k)$, then

$$\widehat{\deg}(\overline{N}^2) = \widehat{\deg}((N, 0)^2) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{X(\mathbb{C})} k dd^c(k)$$

because N is vertical. By (c) in Theorem 1.1 together with Zariski's lemma, $\widehat{\deg}((N, 0)^2) \leq 0$ and the equality holds if and only if $N = 0$. Moreover, by [14, Proposition 1.2.3 and Proposition 2.1.1],

$$\int_{X(\mathbb{C})} k dd^c(k) \leq 0$$

and the equality holds if and only if k is locally constant. Thus $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{N}^2) \leq 0$, that is, $\widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{D}) \geq \widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}^2)$. Moreover, if $\overline{D}$ is relatively nef, then $\widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{D}) = \widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}^2)$ by Claim 2.1.1. Conversely, if $\widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{D}) = \widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}^2)$, that is, $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{N}^2) = 0$, then $N = 0$ and k is locally constant, and hence $\overline{D} = \overline{Q} + (0, k)$ is relatively nef. $\square$

As a corollary of the above theorem, we have the following:

Corollary 2.2. *We assume that X is regular. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\overline{Q}$ is the greatest element of $\Upsilon_{\text{rel}}(\overline{D})$.
- (2) $\overline{Q}$ is an element of $\Upsilon_{\text{rel}}(\overline{D})$ with the following properties:

- (i) $D - Q$ is vertical.
- (ii) $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{Q} \cdot \overline{B}) = 0$ and $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{B}^2) < 0$ for all integrable arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisors $\overline{B}$ of C^0 -type with $(0, 0) \not\leq \overline{B} \leq \overline{D} - \overline{Q}$.

Proof. First, let us see the following claim:

Claim 2.2.1. *Let $\overline{D}_1$ and $\overline{D}_2$ be arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisors of C^0 -type on X such that $\overline{D}_1 \leq \overline{D}_2$. If the natural map $H^0(X, nD_1) \rightarrow H^0(X, nD_2)$ is bijective for each $n \geq 0$, then $\widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{D}_1) \leq \widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{D}_2)$,*

Proof. This is obvious because $\|\cdot\|_{n\overline{D}_1} \geq \|\cdot\|_{n\overline{D}_2}$. $\square$

(1) $\implies$ (2) : By the property (a) in Theorem 1.1, $D - Q$ is vertical. For $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$, we set $\overline{D}_\epsilon = \overline{Q} + \epsilon\overline{B}$. Then $\overline{D}_\epsilon$ is integrable and $\widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{D}_\epsilon) = \widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{Q})$ because

$$\widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{Q}) \leq \widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{D}_\epsilon) \leq \widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{D}) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{Q}) = \widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{D})$$

by Claim 2.2.1 and the properties (d) and (e) in Theorem 1.1. Thus, by using Theorem 2.1,

$$\widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}_\epsilon^2) \leq \widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{D}_\epsilon) = \widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{Q}) = \widehat{\deg}(\overline{Q}^2),$$

which implies $2\widehat{\deg}(\overline{Q} \cdot \overline{B}) + \epsilon\widehat{\deg}(\overline{B}^2) \leq 0$. In particular, $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{Q} \cdot \overline{B}) \leq 0$. On the other hand, as B is vertical,

$$\widehat{\deg}(\overline{Q} \cdot \overline{B}) = \widehat{\deg}(\overline{Q} \cdot (B, 0)) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{X(\mathbb{C})} c_1(\overline{Q})b \geq 0$$

where $\overline{B} = (B, b)$. Therefore, $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{Q} \cdot \overline{B}) = 0$ and $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{B}^2) \leq 0$. Here we assume that $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{B}^2) = 0$. Note that

$$\widehat{\deg}(\overline{B}^2) = \widehat{\deg}((B, 0)^2) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{X(\mathbb{C})} bdd^c(b).$$

Thus, by using the property (c) in Theorem 1.1, Zariski's lemma and [14, Proposition 1.2.3 and Proposition 2.1.1], $B = 0$ and b is a locally constant function. In particular, $\overline{Q} + \overline{B}$ is relatively nef and $\overline{Q} + \overline{B} \leq \overline{D}$, so that $\overline{B} = 0$.

(2) $\implies$ (1) : Let $\overline{M}$ be an element of $\Upsilon_{\text{rel}}(\overline{D})$. If we set $\overline{A} := \max\{\overline{Q}, \overline{M}\}$ (cf. Conventions and terminology 6) and $\overline{B} = (B, b) := \overline{A} - \overline{Q}$, then $\overline{B}$ is effective, $\overline{A} \leq \overline{D}$ and $\overline{A}$ is relatively nef by Claim 1.2.2 and [12, Lemma 9.1.2]. Moreover,

$$\overline{B} = \overline{A} - \overline{Q} \leq \overline{D} - \overline{Q}.$$

If we assume $\overline{B} \not\geq (0, 0)$, then, by the property (ii), $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{Q} \cdot \overline{B}) = 0$ and $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{B}^2) < 0$. On the other hand, as $\overline{A}$ is relatively nef, $\overline{B}$ is effective and B is vertical by the property (i),

$$\widehat{\deg}(\overline{B}^2) = \widehat{\deg}(\overline{Q} + \overline{B} \cdot \overline{B}) = \widehat{\deg}(\overline{A} \cdot \overline{B}) = \widehat{\deg}(\overline{A} \cdot (B, 0)) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{X(\mathbb{C})} c_1(\overline{A})b \geq 0,$$

which is a contradiction, so that $\overline{B} = (0, 0)$, that is, $\overline{Q} = \overline{A}$, which means that $\overline{M} \leq \overline{Q}$, as required. $\square$

Remark 2.3. Let $\overline{D}$ be an integrable arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor of C^0 -type on X with $\deg(D_K) > 0$. For a positive number ϵ , we set

$$\alpha := \frac{\widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}^2)}{[K : \mathbb{Q}] \deg(D_K)} - 2\epsilon.$$

Then, as $\widehat{\deg}((\overline{D} - (0, \alpha))^2) = 2\epsilon[K : \mathbb{Q}] \deg(D_K) > 0$, by Theorem 2.1, there is

$$\phi \in \hat{H}^0(X, n(D - (0, \alpha))) \setminus \{0\}$$

for some $n > 0$. Note that $\|\phi\|_{n(\overline{D} - (0, \alpha))} = \|\phi\|_{n\overline{D}} \exp((n\alpha)/2)$, so that

$$\phi \in H^0(X, nD) \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\phi\|_{n\overline{D}} \leq \exp\left(-\frac{n\widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}^2)}{2[K : \mathbb{Q}] \deg(D_K)} + n\epsilon\right),$$

which is nothing more than Autissier's result [2, Proposition 3.3.3].

3. NECESSARY CONDITION FOR THE EQUALITY $\widehat{\text{vol}} = \widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi$

This section is devoted to consider a necessary condition for the equality $\widehat{\text{vol}} = \widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi$ as an application of the integral formulae due to Boucksom-Chen [4].

First of all, let us review Boucksom-Chen's integral formulae [4] in terms of arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisors. For details, see [15, Section 1]. We fix a monomial order $\preccurlyeq$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$, that is, $\preccurlyeq$ is a total ordering relation on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$ with the following properties:

- (a) $(0, \dots, 0) \preccurlyeq A$ for all $A \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$.
- (b) If $A \preccurlyeq B$ for $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$, then $A + C \preccurlyeq B + C$ for all $C \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$.

The monomial order $\preccurlyeq$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$ extends uniquely to a totally ordering relation $\preccurlyeq$ on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $A + C \preccurlyeq B + C$ for all $A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $A \preccurlyeq B$. Indeed, for $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we define $A \preccurlyeq B$ as follows:

$$A \preccurlyeq B \iff \text{there is } C \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d \text{ such that } A + C, B + C \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d \text{ and } A + C \preccurlyeq B + C.$$

It is easy to see that this definition is well-defined and it yields the above extension. Uniqueness is also obvious.

Let $z_P = (z_1, \dots, z_d)$ be a local system of parameters of $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\overline{K}}, P}$ for $P \in X(\overline{K})$. Note that the completion $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{X_{\overline{K}}, P}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\overline{K}}, P}$ with respect to the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\overline{K}}, P}$ is naturally isomorphic to $\overline{K}[[z_1, \dots, z_d]]$, where $\overline{K}$ is an algebraic closure of K . Thus, for $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{\overline{K}}, P}$, we can put

$$f = \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d} c_{(a_1, \dots, a_d)} z_1^{a_1} \cdots z_d^{a_d}, \quad (c_{(a_1, \dots, a_d)} \in \overline{K}).$$

We define $\text{ord}_{z_p}^{\leq}(f)$ to be

$$\text{ord}_{z_p}^{\leq}(f) := \begin{cases} \min_{\leq} \{ (a_1, \dots, a_d) \mid c_{(a_1, \dots, a_d)} \neq 0 \} & \text{if } f \neq 0, \\ \infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

which gives rise to a rank d valuation, that is, the following properties are satisfied:

- (i) $\text{ord}_{z_p}^{\leq}(fg) = \text{ord}_{z_p}^{\leq}(f) + \text{ord}_{z_p}^{\leq}(g)$ for $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{\bar{K}}, P}$.
- (ii) $\min \{ \text{ord}_{z_p}^{\leq}(f), \text{ord}_{z_p}^{\leq}(g) \} \leq \text{ord}_{z_p}^{\leq}(f + g)$ for $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{\bar{K}}, P}$.

By the property (i), $\text{ord}_{z_p}^{\leq} : \mathcal{O}_{X_{\bar{K}}, P} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$ has the natural extension

$$\text{ord}_{z_p}^{\leq} : \text{Rat}(X_{\bar{K}})^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d$$

given by $\text{ord}_{z_p}^{\leq}(f/g) = \text{ord}_{z_p}^{\leq}(f) - \text{ord}_{z_p}^{\leq}(g)$. Note that this extension also satisfies the same properties (i) and (ii) as before. Since $\text{ord}_{z_p}^{\leq}(u) = (0, \dots, 0)$ for all $u \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{\bar{K}}, P}^{\times}$, $\text{ord}_{z_p}^{\leq}$ induces $\text{Rat}(X_{\bar{K}})^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{X_{\bar{K}}, P}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d$. The composition of homomorphisms

$$\text{Div}(X_{\bar{K}}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_P} \text{Rat}^{\times}(X_{\bar{K}}) / \mathcal{O}_{X_{\bar{K}}, P}^{\times} \xrightarrow{\text{ord}_{z_p}^{\leq}} \mathbb{Z}^d$$

is denoted by $\text{mult}_{z_p}^{\leq}$, where $\alpha_P : \text{Div}(X_{\bar{K}}) \rightarrow \text{Rat}(X_{\bar{K}})^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{X_{\bar{K}}, P}^{\times}$ is the natural homomorphism. Moreover, the homomorphism $\text{mult}_{z_p}^{\leq} : \text{Div}(X_{\bar{K}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d$ gives rise to the natural extension $\text{Div}(X_{\bar{K}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ over $\mathbb{R}$. By abuse of notation, the above extension is also denoted by $\text{mult}_{z_p}^{\leq}$.

Let $\bar{D} = (D, g)$ be an arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor of C^0 -type (cf. Conventions and terminology 2). Let $V_{\bullet} = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} V_m$ be a graded subalgebra of $R(D_K) := \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} H^0(X_K, mD_K)$ over K . The Okounkov body $\Delta(V_{\bullet})$ of $V_{\bullet}$ is defined by the closed convex hull of

$$\bigcup_{m > 0} \{ \text{mult}_{z_p}^{\leq}(D_{\bar{K}} + (1/m)(\phi)) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \mid \phi \in V_m \otimes_K \bar{K} \setminus \{0\} \}.$$

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let $V_{\bullet}^t$ be a graded subalgebra of $V_{\bullet}$ given by

$$V_{\bullet}^t := \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \langle V_m \cap \hat{H}^0(X, m(\bar{D} + (0, -2t))) \rangle_K,$$

where $\langle V_m \cap \hat{H}^0(X, m(\bar{D} + (0, -2t))) \rangle_K$ means the subspace of V_m generated by $V_m \cap \hat{H}^0(X, m(\bar{D} + (0, -2t)))$ over K . Let $G_{(\bar{D}; V_{\bullet})} : \Delta(V_{\bullet}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ be a function given by

$$G_{(\bar{D}; V_{\bullet})}(x) := \begin{cases} \sup \{ t \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \in \Delta(V_{\bullet}^t) \} & \text{if } x \in \Delta(V_{\bullet}^t) \text{ for some } t, \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that $G_{(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)}$ is an upper semicontinuous concave function (cf. [4, SubSection 1.3]). We define $\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)$ and $\widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)$ to be

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}; V_\bullet) := \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\# \log(V_m \cap \hat{H}^0(X, m\overline{D}))}{m^{d+1}/(d+1)!}, \\ \widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{D}; V_\bullet) := \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\hat{\chi}(V_m \cap H^0(X, m\overline{D}), \|\cdot\|_{m\overline{D}})}{m^{d+1}/(d+1)!}. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, for $\xi \in X_K$, we define $\mu_{Q, \xi}(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)$ as follows:

$$\mu_{Q, \xi}(\overline{D}; V_\bullet) := \begin{cases} \inf \left\{ \text{mult}_\xi \left(D + \frac{1}{m}(\phi) \right) \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, \phi \in V_m \cap \hat{H}^0(X, m\overline{D}) \setminus \{0\} \right\} & \text{if } N(\overline{D}; V_\bullet) \neq \emptyset, \\ \infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $N(\overline{D}; V_\bullet) = \{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \mid V_m \cap \hat{H}^0(X, m\overline{D}) \neq \{0\}\}$. Note that $\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}; V_\bullet) = \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D})$, $\widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{D}; V_\bullet) = \widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{D})$ and $\mu_{Q, \xi}(\overline{D}; V_\bullet) = \mu_{Q, \xi}(\overline{D})$ if $V_m = H^0(X_K, mD_K)$ for $m \gg 0$ (cf. Conventions and terminology 2 and 3). Let $\Theta(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)$ be the closure of

$$\{x \in \Delta(V_\bullet) \mid G_{(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)}(x) > 0\}.$$

If $V_\bullet$ contains an ample series (cf. [15, SubSection 1.1]), then, in the similar way as [4, Theorem 2.8] and [4, Theorem 3.1], we have the following integral formulae:

$$(3.1) \quad \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}; V_\bullet) = (d+1)! [K : \mathbb{Q}] \int_{\Theta(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)} G_{(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)}(x) dx$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\overline{D}; V_\bullet) = (d+1)! [K : \mathbb{Q}] \int_{\Delta(V_\bullet)} G_{(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)}(x) dx.$$

Note that the arguments in [4] work for an arbitrary monomial order. Let $v : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a linear map. If we give the monomial order $<_v$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$ by the following rule:

$$a <_v b \quad \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \quad \text{either } v(a) < v(b), \text{ or } v(a) = v(b) \text{ and } a <_{\text{lex}} b,$$

then $v(a) \leq v(b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$ with $a \lesssim_v b$. Let us begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *If $V_\bullet$ contains an ample series and $\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}; V_\bullet) > 0$, then we have the following:*

- (1) $\Theta(\overline{D}; V_\bullet) = \Delta(V_\bullet) = \{x \in \Delta(V_\bullet) \mid G_{(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)}(x) \geq 0\}$.
- (2) We assume that v is given by $v(x_1, \dots, x_d) = x_1 + \dots + x_r$, where $1 \leq r \leq d$. We further assume that the monomial order $\lesssim$ satisfies the property: $v(a) \leq v(b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$ with $a \lesssim b$. Let B is a reduced and irreducible subvariety of $X_{\overline{K}}$ such that B is given by $z_1 = \dots = z_r = 0$ around P . Then $\mu_{Q, B}(\overline{D}; V_\bullet) = \min \{v(x) \mid x \in \Theta(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)\}$.

Proof. (1) Note that

$$\{x \in \Delta(V_\bullet) \mid G_{(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)}(x) > 0\} \subseteq \Delta(V_\bullet^0) \subseteq \{x \in \Delta(V_\bullet) \mid G_{(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)}(x) \geq 0\}$$

and $\{x \in \Delta(V_\bullet) \mid G_{(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)}(x) \geq 0\}$ is closed because $G_{(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)}$ is upper semicontinuous. Thus it is sufficient to show that $\{x \in \Delta(V_\bullet) \mid G_{(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)}(x) \geq 0\} \subseteq \Theta(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)$. Let $x \in \Delta(V_\bullet)$ with $G_{(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)}(x) \geq 0$. As

$$\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}; V_\bullet) = (d+1)! [K : \mathbb{Q}] \int_{\Theta(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)} G_{(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)}(x) dx > 0$$

by (3.1), we can choose $y \in \Theta(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)$ with $G_{(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)}(y) > 0$. Then

$$G_{(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)}((1-t)x + ty) \geq (1-t)G_{(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)}(x) + tG_{(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)}(y) \geq tG_{(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)}(y) > 0$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 < t \leq 1$. Thus $x \in \Theta(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)$.

(2) First let us see the following claim:

Claim 3.3.1. For $L \in \text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, $\nu(\text{mult}_{z_p}^{\leq}(L)) = \text{mult}_B(L)$.

Proof. It is sufficient to see that $\nu(\text{ord}_{z_p}^{\leq}(f)) = \text{ord}_B(f)$ for $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{\overline{K}}} \setminus \{0\}$. We set $f = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d} c_\beta z^\beta$ and $\alpha = \text{ord}_{z_p}^{\leq}(f)$. Note that $\text{ord}_B(f) = \min\{\nu(\beta) \mid c_\beta \neq 0\}$. Thus the assertion follows because $c_\alpha \neq 0$ and $\nu(\alpha) \leq \nu(\beta)$ for $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$ with $c_\beta \neq 0$. $\square$

If we set

$$x_\phi = \text{mult}_{z_p}^{\leq}(D + (1/m)(\phi))$$

for $\phi \in V_m \cap \hat{H}^0(X, m\overline{D}) \setminus \{0\}$ and $m > 0$, then $G_{(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)}(x_\phi) \geq 0$ by the definition of $G_{(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)}$, and hence, $x_\phi \in \Theta(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)$ by (1). Therefore, by Claim 3.3.1,

$$\min\{\nu(x) \mid x \in \Theta(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)\} \leq \nu(x_\phi) = \text{mult}_\xi(D + (1/m)(\phi)),$$

which implies $\min\{\nu(x) \mid x \in \Theta(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)\} \leq \mu_{\mathbb{Q}, B}(\overline{D}; V_\bullet)$.

Claim 3.3.2.

$$\mu_{\mathbb{Q}, B}(\overline{D}; V_\bullet) \leq \nu \left(\text{mult}_{z_p}^{\leq} \left(D + (1/m) \left(\sum_{\phi \in V_m \cap \hat{H}^0(X, m\overline{D}) \setminus \{0\}} c_\phi \phi \right) \right) \right),$$

where $c_\phi \in \overline{K}$ and $\sum_{\phi \in V_m \cap \hat{H}^0(X, m\overline{D}) \setminus \{0\}} c_\phi \phi \neq 0$.

Proof. By the property (ii),

$$\min_{\phi \in V_m \cap \hat{H}^0(X, m\overline{D}) \setminus \{0\}} \{\text{ord}_{z_p}^{\leq}(\phi)\} \lesssim \text{ord}_{z_p}^{\leq} \left(\sum_{\phi \in V_m \cap \hat{H}^0(X, m\overline{D}) \setminus \{0\}} c_\phi \phi \right)$$

on $\mathbb{Z}^d$, which yields

$$\min_{\phi \in V_m \cap \hat{H}^0(X, m\overline{D}) \setminus \{0\}} \{\nu(\text{ord}_{z_p}^{\leq}(\phi))\} \leq \nu \left(\text{ord}_{z_p}^{\leq} \left(\sum_{\phi \in V_m \cap \hat{H}^0(X, m\overline{D}) \setminus \{0\}} c_\phi \phi \right) \right),$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\phi \in V_m \cap \hat{H}^0(X, m\bar{D}) \setminus \{0\}} \left\{ \nu \left(\text{mult}_{z_p}^{\leq} (D + (1/m)(\phi)) \right) \right\} \\ \leq \nu \left(\text{mult}_{z_p}^{\leq} \left(D + (1/m) \left(\sum_{\phi \in V_m \cap \hat{H}^0(X, m\bar{D}) \setminus \{0\}} c_\phi \phi \right) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the claim follows by Claim 3.3.1. $\square$

By the above claim together with (1),

$$\Theta(\bar{D}; V_\bullet) = \Delta(V_\bullet^0) \subseteq \{x \in \Delta(V_\bullet) \mid \mu_{Q,B}(\bar{D}; V_\bullet) \leq \nu(x)\},$$

which shows that $\min\{\nu(x) \mid x \in \Theta(\bar{D}; V_\bullet)\} \geq \mu_{Q,B}(\bar{D}; V_\bullet)$, as required. $\square$

The following theorem is the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.4. *If $V_\bullet$ contains an ample series, $\widehat{\text{vol}}(\bar{D}; V_\bullet) = \widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\bar{D}; V_\bullet) > 0$ and*

$$\inf \left\{ \text{mult}_\xi(D + (1/m)(\phi)) \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \phi \in V_m \setminus \{0\} \right\} = 0$$

for $\xi \in X_K$, then $\mu_{Q,\xi}(\bar{D}; V_\bullet) = 0$.

Proof. First let us consider the following claim:

Claim 3.4.1. $\Theta(\bar{D}; V_\bullet) = \Delta(V_\bullet)$.

Proof. It is sufficient to see that $\Delta(V_\bullet)^\circ \subseteq \{x \in \Delta(V_\bullet) \mid G_{(\bar{D}; V_\bullet)}(x) \geq 0\}$. We assume the contrary, that is, there is $y \in \Delta(V_\bullet)^\circ$ with $G_{(\bar{D}; V_\bullet)}(y) < 0$. Then, by using the upper semicontinuity of $G_{(\bar{D}; V_\bullet)}$, we can find an open neighborhood U of y such that $U \subseteq \Delta(V_\bullet)^\circ$ and $G_{(\bar{D}; V_\bullet)}(x) < 0$ for all $x \in U$. Then, as $\Theta(\bar{D}; V_\bullet) \subseteq \Delta(V_\bullet) \setminus U$, by the integral formulae of $\widehat{\text{vol}}$ and $\widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi$ (cf. (3.1), (3.2)) and (1) in Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\bar{D}; V_\bullet)}{(d+1)! [K : \mathbb{Q}]} &= \int_{\Delta(V_\bullet)} G_{(\bar{D}; V_\bullet)}(x) dx = \int_U G_{(\bar{D}; V_\bullet)}(x) dx + \int_{\Delta(V_\bullet) \setminus U} G_{(\bar{D}; V_\bullet)}(x) dx \\ &< \int_{\Delta(V_\bullet) \setminus U} G_{(\bar{D}; V_\bullet)}(x) dx \leq \int_{\Theta(\bar{D}; V_\bullet)} G_{(\bar{D}; V_\bullet)}(x) dx = \frac{\widehat{\text{vol}}(\bar{D}; V_\bullet)}{(d+1)! [K : \mathbb{Q}]}. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. $\square$

Let B be the Zariski closure of $\{\xi\}$ in X . We choose $P \in X(\bar{K})$ and a local system of parameters $z_P = (z_1, \dots, z_d)$ at P such that P is a regular point of $B_{\bar{K}}$ and $z_1 = \dots = z_r = 0$ is a local equation of $B_{\bar{K}}$ at P . Let $\nu : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the linear map given by $\nu(x_1, \dots, x_d) = x_1 + \dots + x_r$. We also choose a monomial order $\preceq$ such that $\nu(a) \leq \nu(b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$ with $a \preceq b$. By our assumption,

$$\inf \left\{ \text{mult}_\xi(D + (1/m)(\phi)) \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \phi \in V_m \setminus \{0\} \right\} = 0.$$

This means that $\min\{\nu(x) \mid x \in \Delta(V_\bullet)\} = 0$, and hence, by Claim 3.4.1 and (2) in Lemma 3.3,

$$\mu_{Q,\xi}(\bar{D}; V_\bullet) = \min\{\nu(x) \mid x \in \Theta(\bar{D}; V_\bullet)\} = 0.$$

□

Corollary 3.5. *If D_K is nef and big on the generic fiber X_K and $\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}) = \widehat{\text{vol}}_X(\overline{D}) > 0$, then $\mu_{Q,\xi}(\overline{D}) = 0$ for all $\xi \in X_K$.*

Proof. As D_K is nef and big, in the similar way as [12, Proposition 6.5.3], for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\phi \in \text{Rat}(X_K)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}$ such that

$$D_K + (\phi)_{\mathbb{Q}} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{mult}_{\xi}(D_K + (\phi)_{\mathbb{Q}}) < \epsilon,$$

which means that

$$\inf \left\{ \text{mult}_{\xi}(D + (1/m)(\phi)) \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \phi \in H^0(X_K, mD_K) \setminus \{0\} \right\} = 0.$$

Thus the corollary follows from Theorem 3.4. □

4. EQUALITY CONDITION FOR THE GENERALIZED HODGE INDEX THEOREM

Here let us give the proof of the main theorem of this paper. We assume that $d = 1$. Let us begin with the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *We assume that X is regular. For an integrable arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor $\overline{D}$ of C^0 -type on X (cf. Conventions and terminology 5), we have the following:*

- (1) *We assume that $\deg(D_K) = 0$. Then $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}^2) = 0$ if and only if $\overline{D} = (\widehat{\psi})_{\mathbb{R}} + (0, \lambda)$ for some $\psi \in \text{Rat}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}^{\times}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.*
- (2) *The following are equivalent:*
 - (a) $\deg(D_K) = 0$ and $\overline{D}$ is nef.
 - (b) $\deg(D_K) = 0$, $\overline{D}$ is pseudo-effective and $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}^2) = 0$.

Proof. (1) First we assume that $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}^2) = 0$. By [14, Theorem 2.2.3, Remark 2.2.4], there are $\phi \in \text{Rat}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}^{\times}$ and an F_{∞} -invariant locally constant real valued function η on $X(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\overline{D} = (\widehat{\phi})_{\mathbb{R}} + (0, \eta)$. Let $K(\mathbb{C})$ be the set of all embeddings $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. For each $\sigma \in K(\mathbb{C})$, we set $X_{\sigma} = X \times_{\text{Spec}(O_K)}^{\sigma} \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$, where $\times_{\text{Spec}(O_K)}^{\sigma}$ means the fiber product with respect to $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Note that $\{X_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in K(\mathbb{C})}$ gives rise to all connected components of $X(\mathbb{C})$. Let η_{σ} be the value of η on X_{σ} . We set

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{\sigma \in K(\mathbb{C})} \eta_{\sigma} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi = \eta - \lambda.$$

Then $\xi_{\bar{\sigma}} = \xi_{\sigma}$ for all $\sigma \in K(\mathbb{C})$ and $\sum_{\sigma \in K(\mathbb{C})} \xi_{\sigma} = 0$. Thus, by Dirichlet's unit theorem, there is $u \in O_K^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ such that $(\widehat{u})_{\mathbb{R}} = (0, \xi)$. Therefore, we have

$$\overline{D} = (\widehat{\phi u})_{\mathbb{R}} + (0, \lambda).$$

The converse is obvious.

(2) (a) $\implies$ (b) follows from the non-negativity of $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}^2)$ ([12, Proposition 6.4.2], [14, SubSection 2.1]) and the Hodge index theorem ([14, Theorem 2.2.3]). Let us show that (b) $\implies$ (a). By (1), $\overline{D} = (\widehat{\psi})_{\mathbb{R}} + (0, \lambda)$ for some $\psi \in \text{Rat}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}^{\times}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $\bar{A}$ be an ample arithmetic Cartier divisor of C^∞ -type. Then, as $\bar{D}$ is pseudo-effective,

$$0 \leq \widehat{\deg}(\bar{A} \cdot \bar{D}) = \frac{\lambda[K : \mathbb{Q}] \deg(A_K)}{2},$$

and hence $\lambda \geq 0$, as required. $\square$

Lemma 4.2. *In this lemma, X is not necessarily an arithmetic surface, that is, X is a $(d+1)$ -dimensional, generically smooth, normal and projective arithmetic variety. Let $\bar{D}$ be an arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor of C^0 -type on X . Then,*

$$\widehat{\text{vol}}(\bar{D}) \leq \widehat{\text{vol}}(\bar{D} + (0, \epsilon)) \leq \widehat{\text{vol}}(\bar{D}) + \frac{\epsilon(d+1)[K : \mathbb{Q}] \text{vol}(D_K)}{2}$$

for $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

Proof. The first inequality is obvious. Note that $\|\cdot\|_{m(\bar{D}+(0,\epsilon))} = e^{-\frac{m\epsilon}{2}} \|\cdot\|_{m\bar{D}}$ for all $m \geq 0$. Thus, by using [11, (3) in Proposition 2.1], there is a constant C such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\log \# \hat{H}^0(X, m(\bar{D} + (0, \epsilon)))}{m^{d+1}/(d+1)!} &\leq \frac{\log \# \hat{H}^0(X, m\bar{D})}{m^{d+1}/(d+1)!} \\ &\quad + \frac{\epsilon(d+1)[K : \mathbb{Q}] \dim_K H^0(X_K, mD_K)}{2 m^d/d!} + C \frac{\log m}{m} \end{aligned}$$

holds for $m \gg 1$. Thus the second inequality follows. $\square$

The following theorem is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\bar{D}$ be an integrable arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor of C^0 -type on X with $\deg(D_K) > 0$. Then $\widehat{\deg}(\bar{D}^2) = \widehat{\text{vol}}(\bar{D})$ if and only if $\bar{D}$ is nef.*

Proof. Let $\nu : X' \rightarrow X$ be a desingularization of X (cf. [10]). Then $\widehat{\deg}(\nu^*(\bar{D})^2) = \widehat{\deg}(\bar{D}^2)$ and $\widehat{\text{vol}}(\nu^*(\bar{D})) = \widehat{\text{vol}}(\bar{D})$. Moreover, $\nu^*(\bar{D})$ is nef if and only if $\bar{D}$ is nef. Therefore, we may assume that X is regular.

By [11, Corollary 5.5] and [12, Proposition-Definition 6.4.1], if $\bar{D}$ is nef, then $\widehat{\deg}(\bar{D}^2) = \widehat{\text{vol}}(\bar{D})$, so that we need to show that if $\widehat{\deg}(\bar{D}^2) = \widehat{\text{vol}}(\bar{D})$, then $\bar{D}$ is nef.

First we assume that $\bar{D}$ is big. Note that

$$\widehat{\deg}(\bar{D}^2) \leq \widehat{\text{vol}}_\chi(\bar{D}) \leq \widehat{\text{vol}}(\bar{D}).$$

Thus, by Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 3.5, $\bar{D}$ is relatively nef and $\mu_{\mathbb{R}, \xi}(\bar{D}) = 0$ for $\xi \in X_K$. By [12, Theorem 9.2.1], there is a greatest element $\bar{P}$ of $\Upsilon(\bar{D})$ (cf. Conventions and terminology 4). If we set $\bar{N} := \bar{D} - \bar{P}$, then $\bar{D} = \bar{P} + \bar{N}$ is a Zariski decomposition of $\bar{D}$ (cf. Proposition B.1). Then, by [12, Claim 9.3.5.1] or [15, Theorem 4.1.1],

$$\text{mult}_\xi(N) = \mu_{\mathbb{R}, \xi}(\bar{D}) = 0$$

for all $\xi \in X_K$, which implies that N is vertical. In particular, $\widehat{\deg}(\bar{D}|_C) \geq 0$ for all horizontal reduced and irreducible 1-dimensional closed subschemes C on X , and hence $\bar{D}$ is nef because $\bar{D}$ is relatively nef.

Next we assume that $\overline{D}$ is not big. Then $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}^2) = \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}) = 0$. Thus, for $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$,

$$\epsilon[K : \mathbb{Q}] \deg(D_K) = \widehat{\deg}((\overline{D} + (0, \epsilon))^2) \leq \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D} + (0, \epsilon)) \leq \epsilon[K : \mathbb{Q}] \deg(D_K)$$

by the generalized Hodge index theorem (cf. Theorem 2.1) and Lemma 4.2, and hence $\overline{D} + (0, \epsilon)$ is big and $\widehat{\deg}((\overline{D} + (0, \epsilon))^2) = \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D} + (0, \epsilon))$. Therefore, by the previous observation, $\overline{D} + (0, \epsilon)$ is nef for all $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, which means that $\overline{D}$ is nef. $\square$

As a corollary of the above theorem, we have the following:

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\overline{D}$ be an integrable arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor of C^0 -type on X . Then $\overline{D}$ is nef if and only if $\overline{D}$ is pseudo-effective and $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}^2) = \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D})$.*

Proof. We need to show that if $\overline{D}$ is pseudo-effective and $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}^2) = \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D})$, then $\overline{D}$ is nef. Clearly $\deg(D_K) \geq 0$. If $\deg(D_K) > 0$, then the nefness of $\overline{D}$ follows from Theorem 4.3. Moreover, if $\deg(D_K) = 0$, then (2) in Lemma 4.3 implies the assertion. $\square$

5. NEGATIVE PART OF ZARISKI DECOMPOSITION

We assume that $d = 1$. As an application of Theorem 4.3, let us see that the self-intersection number of the negative part of a Zariski decomposition is negative.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\overline{D}$ be an integrable arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor of C^0 -type on X such that $\deg(D_K) \geq 0$. Let $\overline{D} = \overline{P} + \overline{N}$ be a Zariski decomposition of $\overline{D}$ (cf. Conventions and terminology 4). Then $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{N}^2) < 0$ if and only if $\overline{D}$ is not nef.*

Proof. First of all, note that $\overline{D}$ is pseudo-effective. As $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{P} \cdot \overline{N}) = 0$ by the following Lemma 5.2,

$$\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}) - \widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}^2) = \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{P}) - \widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}^2) = \widehat{\deg}(\overline{P}^2) - \widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}^2) = -\widehat{\deg}(\overline{N}^2).$$

Therefore, by Corollary 4.4, $\overline{D}$ is not nef if and only if $\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}) > \widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}^2)$. Thus the assertion follows. $\square$

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\overline{D}$ be an integrable arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor of C^0 -type on X . If $\overline{D} = \overline{P} + \overline{N}$ is a Zariski decomposition of $\overline{D}$, then $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{P} \cdot \overline{N}) = 0$ and $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{N}^2) \leq 0$.*

Proof. For $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$, we set $\overline{D}_\epsilon = \overline{P} + \epsilon \overline{N}$. Then $\overline{D}_\epsilon$ is integrable and $\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{P}) = \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}_\epsilon)$ because

$$\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{P}) \leq \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}_\epsilon) \leq \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}) = \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{P}).$$

Thus, by the generalized Hodge index theorem (cf. Theorem 2.1),

$$\widehat{\deg}((\overline{P} + \epsilon \overline{N})^2) = \widehat{\deg}(\overline{D}_\epsilon^2) \leq \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}_\epsilon) = \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{P}) = \widehat{\deg}(\overline{P}^2),$$

and hence

$$2\widehat{\deg}(\overline{P} \cdot \overline{N}) + \epsilon \widehat{\deg}(\overline{N}^2) \leq 0.$$

In particular, $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{P} \cdot \overline{N}) \leq 0$. On the other hand, as $\overline{P}$ is nef and $\overline{N}$ is effective, $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{P} \cdot \overline{N}) \geq 0$. Thus $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{P} \cdot \overline{N}) = 0$ and $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{N}^2) \leq 0$. $\square$

Remark 5.3. If $\overline{D}$ is big, then the Zariski decomposition $\overline{D} = \overline{P} + \overline{N}$ is uniquely determined by [15, Theorem 4.2.1]. Otherwise, it is not necessarily unique.

As a consequence of the above theorem, we have the following numerical characterization of the greatest element of $\Upsilon(\overline{D})$ (cf. Conventions and terminology 4).

Corollary 5.4. *We assume that X is regular. Let $\overline{D}$ and $\overline{P}$ be arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisors of C^0 -type on X . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\overline{P}$ is the greatest element of $\Upsilon(\overline{D})$, that is, $\overline{P} \in \Upsilon(\overline{D})$ and $\overline{M} \leq \overline{P}$ for all $\overline{M} \in \Upsilon(\overline{D})$.
- (2) $\overline{P}$ is an element of $\Upsilon(\overline{D})$ with the following property:

$$\widehat{\deg}(\overline{P} \cdot \overline{B}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\deg}(\overline{B}^2) < 0$$

for all integrable arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisors $\overline{B}$ of C^0 -type with $(0, 0) \not\leq \overline{B} \leq \overline{D} - \overline{P}$.

Proof. (1) $\implies$ (2) : By Proposition B.1, $\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}) = \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{P})$, so that $\overline{P} + \overline{B}$ is a Zariski decomposition because

$$\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{P}) \leq \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{P} + \overline{B}) \leq \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}).$$

Thus $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{P} \cdot \overline{B}) = 0$ by Lemma 5.2. As $\overline{B} \not\geq (0, 0)$ and $\overline{P}$ is the greatest element of $\Upsilon(\overline{D})$, $\overline{P} + \overline{B}$ is not nef, so that $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{B}^2) < 0$ by Theorem 5.1.

(2) $\implies$ (1) : Let $\overline{M}$ be an element of $\Upsilon(\overline{D})$. If we set $\overline{A} = \max\{\overline{P}, \overline{M}\}$ (cf. Conventions and terminology 6) and $\overline{B} = \overline{A} - \overline{P}$, then $\overline{B}$ is effective, $\overline{A} \leq \overline{D}$ and $\overline{A}$ is nef by [12, Lemma 9.1.2]. Moreover,

$$\overline{B} = \overline{A} - \overline{P} \leq \overline{D} - \overline{P}.$$

If we assume $\overline{B} \not\geq (0, 0)$, then, by the property, $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{P} \cdot \overline{B}) = 0$ and $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{B}^2) < 0$. On the other hand, as $\overline{A}$ is nef and $\overline{B}$ is effective,

$$0 \leq \widehat{\deg}(\overline{A} \cdot \overline{B}) = \widehat{\deg}(\overline{P} + \overline{B} \cdot \overline{B}) = \widehat{\deg}(\overline{B}^2),$$

which is a contradiction, so that $\overline{B} = (0, 0)$, that is, $\overline{P} = \overline{A}$, which means that $\overline{M} \leq \overline{P}$, as required. $\square$

Corollary 5.5. *We assume that X is regular. Let $\overline{D}$ be an arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor of C^0 -type on X such that $\Upsilon(\overline{D}) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\overline{P}$ be the greatest element of $\Upsilon(\overline{D})$ (cf. [12, Theorem 9.2.1]) and let $\overline{N} := \overline{D} - \overline{P}$. We assume that $N \neq 0$. Let $N = c_1 C_1 + \dots + c_l C_l$ be the decomposition such that $c_1, \dots, c_l \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $C_1, \dots, C_l$ are distinct reduced and irreducible 1-dimensional closed subschemes on X . Let $\overline{C}_1 = (C_1, h_1), \dots, \overline{C}_l = (C_l, h_l)$ be effective arithmetic Cartier divisors of C^0 -type such that $c_1(\overline{C}_1), \dots, c_l(\overline{C}_l)$ are positive currents and*

$$c_1 \overline{C}_1 + \dots + c_l \overline{C}_l \leq \overline{N}.$$

Then

$$\widehat{\deg}(\overline{P} \cdot \overline{C}_1) = \dots = \widehat{\deg}(\overline{P} \cdot \overline{C}_l) = 0$$

and the $(l \times l)$ symmetric matrix given by

$$\left(\widehat{\deg}(\overline{C}_i \cdot \overline{C}_j) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq l \\ 1 \leq j \leq l}}$$

is negative definite.

Proof. For $x = (x_1, \dots, x_l) \in \mathbb{R}^l$, we set $\overline{B}_x = x_1 \overline{C}_1 + \dots + x_l \overline{C}_l$ and $\overline{D}_x = \overline{P} + \overline{B}_x$. If $0 \leq x_i \leq c_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, l$, then $\overline{B}_x$ is integrable and $(0, 0) \leq \overline{B}_x \leq \overline{N}$. Thus, by Corollary 5.4,

$$0 = \widehat{\deg}(\overline{P} \cdot \overline{B}_{(c_1, \dots, c_l)}) = c_1 \widehat{\deg}(\overline{P} \cdot \overline{C}_1) + \dots + c_l \widehat{\deg}(\overline{P} \cdot \overline{C}_l).$$

Note that $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{P} \cdot \overline{C}_i) \geq 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, l$. Therefore,

$$\widehat{\deg}(\overline{P} \cdot \overline{C}_1) = \dots = \widehat{\deg}(\overline{P} \cdot \overline{C}_l) = 0$$

Here we claim the following:

Claim 5.5.1. *If $x \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^l \setminus \{0\}$, then $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{B}_x^2) < 0$.*

Proof. Note that $\overline{B}_{tx} = t\overline{B}_x$ and that we can find a positive number t with $tx_i \leq c_i$ ($\forall i$). Thus we may assume that $x_i \leq c_i$ ($\forall i$), and hence the assertion follows by Corollary 5.4. $\square$

We need to see that if $x \in \mathbb{R}^l \setminus \{0\}$, then $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{B}_x^2) < 0$. We can choose

$$y = (y_1, \dots, y_l), z = (z_1, \dots, z_l) \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^l$$

such that $x = y - z$ and $\{i \mid y_i \neq 0\} \cap \{j \mid z_j \neq 0\} = \emptyset$. Note that either $y \neq 0$ or $z \neq 0$. Moreover, $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{B}_y \cdot \overline{B}_z) \geq 0$ because $\overline{B}_y \geq (0, 0)$, $\overline{B}_z \geq (0, 0)$, $c_1(\overline{B}_y)$ and $c_1(\overline{B}_z)$ are positive currents, and B_y and B_z have no common reduced and irreducible 1-dimensional closed subschemes. Thus, by using the above claim,

$$\widehat{\deg}(\overline{B}_x^2) = \widehat{\deg}((\overline{B}_y - \overline{B}_z)^2) = \widehat{\deg}(\overline{B}_y^2) + \widehat{\deg}(\overline{B}_z^2) - 2\widehat{\deg}(\overline{B}_y \cdot \overline{B}_z) < 0.$$

$\square$

Remark 5.6. By [12, Theorem 9.3.4, (4.1)], we can find effective arithmetic Cartier divisors $\overline{C}_1, \dots, \overline{C}_l$ of C^0 -type such that $c_1(\overline{C}_1), \dots, c_1(\overline{C}_l)$ are positive currents and $c_1 \overline{C}_1 + \dots + c_l \overline{C}_l \leq \overline{N}$.

Example 5.7. Let $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1 = \text{Proj}(\mathbb{Z}[T_0, T_1])$ and $H_i = \{T_i = 0\}$ for $i = 0, 1$. We fix positive numbers a_0, a_1 such that $a_0 < 1, a_1 < 1$ and $a_0 + a_1 \geq 1$. Let us consider an arithmetic Cartier divisor $\overline{D}$ of C^∞ -type given by

$$\overline{D} := (H_0, \log(a_0 + a_1 |z|^2)),$$

where $z = T_1/T_0$. Note that $c_1(\overline{D})$ is a positive form. Moreover, $\overline{D}$ is pseudo-effective and not nef (cf. [13, Theorem 2.3]). In [13, Theorem 4.1], we give the greatest element of $\Upsilon(\overline{D})$ as follows: Let φ be a continuous function on the interval $[0, 1]$ given by

$$\varphi(x) = -(1-x) \log(1-x) - x \log(x) + (1-x) \log(a_0) + x \log(a_1),$$

and let $\vartheta = \min\{x \in [0, 1] \mid \varphi(x) \geq 0\}$ and $\theta = \max\{x \in [0, 1] \mid \varphi(x) \geq 0\}$. We set

$$\bar{P} := (\theta H_0 - \vartheta H_1, p(z)), \quad \bar{N}_1 := (\vartheta H_1, n_1(z)) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{N}_2 := ((1 - \theta)H_0, n_2(z)),$$

where $p(z)$, $n_1(z)$ and $n_2(z)$ are Green functions given by

$$p(z) := \begin{cases} \vartheta \log |z|^2 & \text{if } |z| \leq \sqrt{\frac{a_0 \vartheta}{a_1(1-\vartheta)}}, \\ \log(a_0 + a_1 |z|^2) & \text{if } \sqrt{\frac{a_0 \vartheta}{a_1(1-\vartheta)}} \leq |z| \leq \sqrt{\frac{a_0 \theta}{a_1(1-\theta)}}, \\ \theta \log |z|^2 & \text{if } |z| \geq \sqrt{\frac{a_0 \theta}{a_1(1-\theta)}}. \end{cases}$$

$$n_1(z) := \begin{cases} \log(a_0 + a_1 |z|^2) - \vartheta \log |z|^2 & \text{if } |z| \leq \sqrt{\frac{a_0 \vartheta}{a_1(1-\vartheta)}}, \\ 0 & \text{if } |z| \geq \sqrt{\frac{a_0 \vartheta}{a_1(1-\vartheta)}}. \end{cases}$$

$$n_2(z) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |z| \leq \sqrt{\frac{a_0 \theta}{a_1(1-\theta)}}, \\ \log(a_1 + a_0 |z|^{-2}) + (1 - \theta) \log |z|^2 & \text{if } |z| \geq \sqrt{\frac{a_0 \theta}{a_1(1-\theta)}}. \end{cases}$$

Then $\bar{P}$ gives the greatest element of $\Upsilon(\bar{D})$ and $\bar{D} = \bar{P} + (\bar{N}_1 + \bar{N}_2)$. It is easy to see that

$$\widehat{\deg}(\bar{P} \cdot \bar{N}_1) = \widehat{\deg}(\bar{P} \cdot \bar{N}_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\deg}(\bar{N}_1 \cdot \bar{N}_2) = 0.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\deg}(\bar{N}_1 \cdot \bar{N}_1) &= \widehat{\deg}(\bar{N}_1 \cdot (\bar{N}_1 - \vartheta(z))) = \widehat{\deg}(\bar{N}_1 \cdot (\vartheta H_0, n_1(z) + \vartheta \log |z|^2)) \\ &= \vartheta \widehat{\deg}(\bar{N}_1|_{H_0}) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})} c_1(\bar{N}_1)(n_1(z) + \vartheta \log |z|^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{|z| \leq \sqrt{\frac{a_0 \vartheta}{a_1(1-\vartheta)}}} dd^c(\log(a_0 + a_1 |z|^2)) \log(a_0 + a_1 |z|^2) \\ &= \frac{(1 - \vartheta) \log(1 - \vartheta) + (\log(a_0) + 1) \vartheta}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way,

$$\widehat{\deg}(\bar{N}_2 \cdot \bar{N}_2) = \frac{\theta \log(\theta) + (\log(a_1) + 1)(1 - \theta)}{2}.$$

Thus the negative definite symmetric matrix $(\widehat{\deg}(\bar{N}_i \cdot \bar{N}_j))_{i,j=1,2}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{(1-\vartheta) \log(1-\vartheta) + (\log(a_0) + 1) \vartheta}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\theta \log(\theta) + (\log(a_1) + 1)(1-\theta)}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Appendix A. RELATIVE ZARISKI DECOMPOSITION AND PSEUDO-EFFECTIVITY

We assume that X is regular and $d = 1$. Let $\bar{D} = (D, g)$ be an arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor of C^0 -type on X . In this appendix, we would like to investigate the pseudo-effectivity of the relative Zariski decomposition.

Proposition A.1. *We assume that $\deg(D_K) \geq 0$. Let $\overline{Q}$ be the greatest element of $\Upsilon_{rel}(\overline{D})$ (cf. Section 1). Then $\overline{D}$ is pseudo-effective if and only if $\overline{Q}$ is pseudo-effective.*

Proof. It is obvious that if $\overline{Q}$ is pseudo-effective, then $\overline{D}$ is also pseudo-effective, so that we assume that $\overline{D}$ is pseudo-effective.

First we consider the case where $\deg(D_K) > 0$. Then, by [12, Proposition 6.3.3], $\overline{D} + (0, \epsilon)$ is big for any $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. By the property (d) in Theorem 1.1, the natural inclusion map $H^0(X, nQ) \rightarrow H^0(X, nD)$ is bijective and $\|\cdot\|_{n\overline{Q}} = \|\cdot\|_{n\overline{D}}$ for each $n \geq 0$. Moreover, as

$$\|\cdot\|_{n(\overline{Q}+(0,\epsilon))} = e^{-n\epsilon/2} \|\cdot\|_{n\overline{Q}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\cdot\|_{n(\overline{D}+(0,\epsilon))} = e^{-n\epsilon/2} \|\cdot\|_{n\overline{D}},$$

we have $\|\cdot\|_{n(\overline{Q}+(0,\epsilon))} = \|\cdot\|_{n(\overline{D}+(0,\epsilon))}$, and hence $\overline{Q} + (0, \epsilon)$ is big for all $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Thus the assertion follows.

Next we assume that $\deg(D_K) = 0$. By [14, Theorem 2.3.3], there are $\phi \in \text{Rat}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}^{\times}$, a vertical effective $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor E on X and an F_{∞} -invariant continuous function η on $X(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\overline{D} = (\widehat{\phi})_{\mathbb{R}} + (E, \eta)$ and $\pi^{-1}(P)_{red} \not\subseteq \text{Supp}(E)$ for all $P \in \text{Spec}(O_K)$. For each embedding $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, let $X_{\sigma} = X \times_{\text{Spec}(O_K)}^{\sigma} \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$ and let $\lambda_{\sigma} = \min_{x \in X_{\sigma}} \{\eta(x)\}$. Note that $\lambda_{\bar{\sigma}} = \lambda_{\sigma}$ for all σ . Let $\lambda : X(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the local constant function such that the value of λ on X_{σ} is λ_{σ} .

Here let us see that $\overline{Q} = (\widehat{\phi})_{\mathbb{R}} + (0, \lambda)$ is the greatest element of $\Upsilon_{rel}(\overline{D})$. Otherwise, there is an integrable arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor $\overline{B} = (B, b)$ of C^0 -type such that $(0, 0) \not\leq \overline{B} \leq \overline{D} - \overline{Q} = (E, \eta - \lambda)$ and $\overline{Q} + \overline{B}$ is relatively nef. Since b is continuous and

$$dd^c([b]) = c_1(\overline{B}) = c_1(\overline{Q} + \overline{B})$$

is a positive current, b is plurisubharmonic on $X(\mathbb{C})$, that is, b is a locally constant function. Let b_{σ} be the value of b on X_{σ} . If we choose $x_{\sigma} \in X_{\sigma}$ with $\lambda_{\sigma} = \eta(x_{\sigma})$, then

$$0 \leq b_{\sigma} \leq \eta(x_{\sigma}) - \lambda_{\sigma} = 0,$$

and hence $b = 0$, so that, as $\overline{Q} + \overline{B}$ is relatively nef,

$$0 \leq \widehat{\deg}(\overline{Q} + \overline{B} \cdot \overline{B}) = \widehat{\deg}((B, 0)^2).$$

On the other hand, by Zariski's lemma, $\widehat{\deg}((B, 0)^2) < 0$. This is a contradiction.

By [14, Lemma 2.3.4 and Lemma 2.3.5], (E, λ) is pseudo-effective. On the other hand, by the following Lemma A.2, there is a nef arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor $\overline{L}$ of C^{∞} -type such that $\deg(L_K) > 0$ and $\widehat{\deg}(\overline{L} \cdot (E, 0)) = 0$. Thus,

$$0 \leq \widehat{\deg}(\overline{L} \cdot (E, \lambda)) = \sum_{\sigma} \frac{\deg(L_K) \lambda_{\sigma}}{2},$$

and hence $\sum_{\sigma} \lambda_{\sigma} \geq 0$. We set $\lambda' = (1/[K : \mathbb{Q}]) \sum_{\sigma} \lambda_{\sigma}$ and $\xi = \lambda - \lambda'$. Then $\lambda' \geq 0$, $\sum_{\sigma} \xi_{\sigma} = 0$ and $\xi_{\bar{\sigma}} = \xi_{\sigma}$ for all σ , where ξ_{σ} is the value of ξ on X_{σ} . Thus, by Dirichlet's unit theorem, $(0, \xi) = (\widehat{u})_{\mathbb{R}}$ for some $u \in O_K^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Therefore,

$$\overline{Q} = (\widehat{\phi u})_{\mathbb{R}} + (0, \lambda'),$$

which is pseudo-effective. $\square$

Lemma A.2. *Let $C_1, \dots, C_r$ be vertical reduced and irreducible 1-dimensional closed subschemes on X such that $\pi^{-1}(P)_{\text{red}} \not\subseteq C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_r$ for all $P \in \text{Spec}(O_K)$. Then there is a nef arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor $\bar{L}$ of C^∞ -type such that $\deg(L_K) > 0$ and $\widehat{\deg}(\bar{L} \cdot (C_i, 0)) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$.*

Proof. Let $\bar{A}$ be an ample arithmetic Cartier divisor of C^∞ -type. By using Zariski's lemma, we can find a vertical effective $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor E such that

$$\widehat{\deg}((E, 0) \cdot (C_i, 0)) = -\deg(\bar{A} \cdot (C_i, 0))$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, r$ and that $\widehat{\deg}((E, 0) \cdot (C, 0)) \geq 0$ for all vertical reduced and irreducible 1-dimensional closed subschemes C with $C \notin \{C_1, \dots, C_r\}$. Thus, if we set $\bar{L} := \bar{A} + (E, 0)$, then $\bar{L}$ is a nef arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor of C^∞ -type, $\deg(L_K) > 0$ and $\widehat{\deg}(\bar{L} \cdot (C_i, 0)) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. $\square$

Appendix B. SMALL SECTIONS OF ARITHMETIC $\mathbb{R}$ -DIVISORS

Let $\bar{D}$ be an arithmetic $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor of C^0 -type on X . In this appendix, let us consider a generalization of [12, Proposition 9.3.3]. Its proof is much simpler than one of [12, Proposition 9.3.3].

Proposition B.1. *Let $\bar{P}$ be the greatest element of $\Upsilon(\bar{D})$ (cf. Conventions and terminology 4). Then, for $\phi \in \text{Rat}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}^\times$, $\bar{D} + (\widehat{\phi})_{\mathbb{R}}$ is effective if and only if $\bar{P} + (\widehat{\phi})_{\mathbb{R}}$ is effective. In particular, the natural inclusion maps*

$$\hat{H}^0(X, n\bar{P}) \hookrightarrow \hat{H}^0(X, n\bar{D}), \quad \hat{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}^0(X, \bar{P}) \hookrightarrow \hat{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}^0(X, \bar{D}) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^0(X, \bar{P}) \hookrightarrow \hat{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^0(X, \bar{D})$$

are bijective for each $n \geq 0$.

Proof. We assume that $\bar{D} + (\widehat{\phi})_{\mathbb{R}}$ is effective. Then $-(\widehat{\phi})_{\mathbb{R}} \in \Upsilon(\bar{D})$, and hence $-(\widehat{\phi})_{\mathbb{R}} \leq \bar{P}$, that is, $\bar{P} + (\widehat{\phi})_{\mathbb{R}}$ is effective. The converse is obvious. $\square$

As a corollary of the above proposition, we have the following.

Corollary B.2. *We assume that $d = 1$. Let $\bar{D} = \bar{P} + \bar{N}$ be a Zariski decomposition of $\bar{D}$ (Conventions and terminology 4). If $\bar{D}$ is big, then the natural inclusion maps*

$$\hat{H}^0(X, n\bar{P}) \hookrightarrow \hat{H}^0(X, n\bar{D}), \quad \hat{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}^0(X, \bar{P}) \hookrightarrow \hat{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}^0(X, \bar{D}) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^0(X, \bar{P}) \hookrightarrow \hat{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^0(X, \bar{D})$$

are bijective for each $n \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $\mu : X' \rightarrow X$ be a desingularization of X (cf. [10]). Then

$$\mu^*(\bar{D}) = \mu^*(\bar{P}) + \mu^*(\bar{N})$$

is a Zariski decomposition of $\mu^*(\bar{D})$. Thus, by [15, Theorem 4.2.1], $\mu^*(\bar{P})$ gives the greatest element of $\Upsilon(\mu^*(\bar{D}))$. Therefore, by Proposition B.1,

$$\hat{H}^0(X', n\mu^*(\bar{P})) = \hat{H}^0(X', n\mu^*(\bar{D})) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{H}_{\mathbb{K}}^0(X', \mu^*(\bar{P})) = \hat{H}_{\mathbb{K}}^0(X', \mu^*(\bar{D}))$$

for each $n \geq 0$, where $\mathbb{K}$ is either $\mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{R}$. Let us consider the following commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \hat{H}^0(X, n\bar{P}) & \longrightarrow & \hat{H}^0(X', n\mu^*(\bar{P})) \\
 \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 \hat{H}^0(X, n\bar{D}) & \longrightarrow & \hat{H}^0(X', n\mu^*(\bar{D}))
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \hat{H}_{\mathbb{K}}^0(X, \bar{P}) & \longrightarrow & \hat{H}_{\mathbb{K}}^0(X', \mu^*(\bar{P})) \\
 \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 \hat{H}_{\mathbb{K}}^0(X, \bar{D}) & \longrightarrow & \hat{H}_{\mathbb{K}}^0(X', \mu^*(\bar{D}))
 \end{array}$$

Note that each horizontal arrow is bijective. Thus the assertions follows. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Abbes and T. Bouche, Théorème de Hilbert-Samuel “arithmétique”, Ann. Inst. Fourier(Grenoble) **45** (1995), 375–401.
- [2] P. Autissier Points entiers sur les surfaces arithmétiques, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **531** (2001), 201–235.
- [3] R. Berman and J.-P. Demailly, Regularity of plurisubharmonic upper envelopes in big cohomology classes, Perspectives in Analysis, Geometry and Topology: On the Occasion of the 60th Birthday of Oleg Viro, Progress in Mathematics **296**, 39–66.
- [4] S. Boucksom and H. Chen, Okounkov Bodies of filtered linear series, preprint.
- [5] J.-P. Demailly, Complex Analytic and Differential Geometry.
- [6] G. Faltings, Calculus on arithmetic surfaces, Ann. of Math. **119** (1984), 387–424.
- [7] H. Gillet and C. Soulé, An arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem, Invent. Math. **110** (1992), 473–543.
- [8] P. Hriljac, Height and Arakerov’s intersection theory, Amer. J. Math., **107** (1985), 23–38.
- [9] H. Ikoma, Boundedness of the successive minima on arithmetic varieties, to appear in J. Algebraic Geometry.
- [10] J. Lipman, Desingularization of two-dimensional schemes, Ann. of Math., **107** (1978), 151–207.
- [11] A. Moriwaki, Continuity of volumes on arithmetic varieties, J. of Algebraic Geom. **18** (2009), 407–457.
- [12] A. Moriwaki, Zariski decompositions on arithmetic surfaces, to appear in Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., see also (arXiv:0911.2951v4 [math.AG]).
- [13] A. Moriwaki, Big arithmetic divisors on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n$, Kyoto J. Math. **51** (2011), 503–534.
- [14] A. Moriwaki, Toward Dirichlet’s unit theorem on arithmetic varieties, preprint (arXiv:1010.1599v4 [math.AG]).
- [15] A. Moriwaki, Arithmetic linear series with base conditions, Math. Z., (DOI) 10.1007/s00209-012-0991-2.
- [16] S. Zhang, Small points and adelic metrics, Journal of Algebraic Geometry **4** (1995), 281–300.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO, 606-8502, JAPAN
E-mail address: moriwaki@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp